

Salem Conservation Commission
Minutes of Meeting

Date and Time: Tuesday, December 15, 2020, 6:30 p.m.
 Meeting Location: Zoom or call-in
 Members Present: Chair Gregory St. Louis, Tyler Glode, Vice Chair Bart Hoskins, Tom Campbell, Malissa Vieira, Scott Sheehan, Dan Ricciarelli (7)
 Members Absent:
 Others Present: Brittany Dolan, Conservation Agent
 Recorder: Stacy Kilb

Vice Chair Tyler Glode calls the meeting to order at 6:37PM.

I. ROLL CALL

II. REGULAR AGENDA

A. Peabody Street Park – DEP #64-712 – Public Hearing – Notice of Intent for Kathleen Winn, City of Salem, 98 Washington St. The purpose of the hearing is to discuss the proposed improvements to Peabody Street Park within an area subject to the Wetlands Protection Act MGL c131§40 and Salem’s Wetlands Protection & Conservation Ordinance.

Dana Vesty, Environmental Science w/Tighe & Bond, presents

- Parcel and project are described and background given; project will further improve the neighborhood park system
- Coastal Bank, Riverfront, LSCSF, NO state listed habitat
- Will install a new plastic play structure, in footprint of existing. Installation is described. Existing surfaces will be removed and replaced with minor surface grading
- BMPs will be employed; erosion control and other protection measures are described
- Impacts are outlined; all resource areas overlap within limits of work
- This is a riverfront are redevelopment project
- 410 sf of concrete will be converted to pervious area

Commission questions/comments

Chair St. Louis

- Existing playground drains to onsite catch basin? Correct, and there are no revisions. Final grading will be the same as current
- Majority of site is rubber surfacing currently; also concrete. New surface will be rubber except for 160’ on eastern side

Chair St. Louis opens to the public but there are no comments; no written comments have been received.

A motion to close the public hearing is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Tyler, and passes in a roll call vote.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes

Malissa Vieira Yes

A motion to issue an Order of Conditions with standard conditions is made by Bart Hoskins, seconded by Dan Ricciarelli, and the motion carries in a roll call vote.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

Hoskins asks if the City has to do the NOI or if it could have done an RDA. An NOI was not required, the City just did one outright so as not to potentially have to do both.

B. 26 Charles Street – Public Hearing – Request for Determination of Applicability for Andrew Stegelmann, 26 Charles St, Salem. MA. The purpose of the hearing is to discuss the proposed removal of an unhealthy tree within an area subject to protection under the Wetlands Protection Act MGL c131§40 and Salem Wetlands Protection & Conservation Ordinance.

Mr. Stegelmann presents his project

- Tree is damaged and a limb has already fallen; they are concerned it may fall on the house
- Would like to remove tree and use limbs to prevent erosion
- Replanting plans? Ideally would like to plant fruit trees, but have not researched options yet. Chair St. Louis points out that online documents can give guidance. Check in with current or former Agent, or nurseries
- Chair St. Louis asks that the roots be left in place to keep ground stable; stump may be ground.

No comments from the Commission or the public.

A motion to close the public hearing is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Bart Hoskins, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

- Dan Ricciarelli asks about the protocol for removing a tree that close to the wetlands; Applicant notes it will be done by a professional
- Glode approves of the idea of using limbs to maintain a barrier between wetland and backyard, not in wetland but along the border

A motion to issue a Negative 2 and Negative 6 RDA is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Bart Hoskins, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

C. 35 Belleau Road – Public Hearing – Request for Determination of Applicability for Lee Dearborn, 32 Buffum St, Unit 2, Salem. MA. The purpose of the hearing is to discuss the proposed addition over an existing garage at 35 Belleau Drive, within an area subject to protection under the Wetlands Protection Act MGL c131§40 and Salem Wetlands Protection & Conservation Ordinance.

Dan Ricciarelli is recused from this item.

Mr. Dearborn presents his project

- Project is described; the only impact to the grade has to do with addition of an entrance
- Demolition Plan and Site Plan are shown
- Erosion control and drain protection measures are described
- No increase to building footprint; all materials to be stored in garage
- Additional drawings are shown

Chair St. Louis asks about the second story staircase; this is interior from the garage to the addition. His contractor should be mindful of any windblown debris.

There are no comments from the Commission or the public.

A motion to close the public hearing is made by Bart Hoskins, seconded by Tyler Glode, and passes 6-0 with Dan Ricciarelli recused.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Recused
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

A motion to issue a Negative 2 and Negative 6 RDA is made by Tyler Glode, seconded by Bart Hoskins, and passes 6-0 with Dan Ricciarelli recused.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Recused
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

D. 21 Hemenway Road – DEP #64-xxx – Public Hearing – Notice of Intent for Sean and Ana Monahan, 21 Hemenway Rd, Salem, MA. The purpose of the hearing is to discuss the proposed installation of an in-ground pool and patio within an area subject to the Wetlands Protection Act MGL c131§40 and Salem's Wetlands Protection & Conservation Ordinance.

Matthew Leahy and MaryAnn O'Neill from Leahy Landscaping present the project

- Project is described; pool will be outside the floodplain
- Site plan is shown; patio will be pervious, but material is undecided. Cultec chambers will be installed to handle runoff
- Plantings are not yet decided but will be native where possible, appropriate for ocean side
- Grade will be raised by 2' at end of infinity pool but otherwise will remain where they are now
- Chair asks about an infinity pool; this contains water that recirculates into itself. This will be a salt chlorine pool. It will turn road salt into chlorine then back into salt as it exits the filtration system so that chlorine does not enter the resource

- There will be 1000 sf of patio, each Cultec chamber holds 1000 gallons; this will provide 3x the storage capacity of what is required
- If needed, a third Cultec chamber could be added. Glode asks if chambers must be 10' from pool; they are infiltrating but b/c pool is a gunite shell, they do not need to be 10' from pool. Chair comments that Applicant may not want to infiltrate too close to foundation if there is habitable space there. It is a walkout, not full walkout, but he sees chambers being below grade of slab of cellar
- Chair: Elevation at seaward side along abutter, how does top of pool interface w/grading? A knee wall will be no higher than 2.5'; existing juniper is there and they are proposing a 4' fence from the neighbor and some Arborvitae. This is described.
- Patio will be flagstone w/ 1/2inch gaps and rice (?) stone. All runoff from patio will be held onsite
- Chair: existing seawall has no work proposed? Has been discussed but not as part of this project
- The kneewall is further discussed - footings and batterings, if needed, should be taken into account with offsets to the resource. The wall could be built inside; Chair advocates that there is not a lot on that side of the pool and the Applicant may want to pull it back; they are amenable to this
- Dan Ricciarelli asks if the hay bale line could be tightened as there is no scope of work toward 17 Fairview. Chair notes purple line is a wall so will be digging down; logistics are discussed
- Chair comments that infiltration units - there are minimum cover requirements for H2O loading; should not be driven over, this is briefly discussed. They will be installed after the pool at the end of the process to avoid this
- Ricciarelli: backwashing w/ saltwater pools is not a big issue? It is not, should be set up so backwashing/ draining pool goes into Cultec, not resource. Chair notes will need MS4 permit/dewatering if discharging to City system; this is not the intent
- Chair notes that he addressed comments relayed to Commission
- Scott Sheehan asks if any trees or vegetation will be removed; there is one old, existing crabapple that will be removed, near the corner of the pool
- Bart Hoskins asks about existing elevations from pool to fence to seawall. LS2 cut and fills shows this; the tree is no more than 10' tall
- Ricciarelli is concerned about erosion at the edge of the patio; the infinity edge retains itself and the area will be heavily planted. On the steepest part or embankment, rosa rugosa and ground cover juniper will remain

Chair St. Louis opens to the public.

Stephen Manning, 17 Fairview Rd.

- Wonders if contractor got copy of his questions? Yes
- Concerned about adequacy of drainage/Cultec chambers
- System is further described; as water infiltrates, it percolates
- No test borings of site have been done; percolation and ledge/clay has not been determined. Chambers will be over-excavated/enveloped w/ 3/4" stone to create own perc. Chair notes usually see perc on inland not coastal areas as there is no standard; this is above and beyond
- Mr. Manning is still concerned about the nearby salt marsh, sea grass, and runoff of all types
- Mr. Leahy notes that while adding hardscape, it will be pervious so if a 2-3" storm, as it is, would run off existing turf and into resource area, as designed, it will be collected onsite
- Mr. Manning still concerned about spillover from pool into salt marsh; pool is a self contained unit; vault is 1' down from the top so would need to get an 8+" of storm to fall within envelope and raise the water level that much. St. Louis comments there is no chlorine built in; treats water in filter itself, when present in pool does not have latent chlorine
- Mr. Manning: some pools lowered during winter, will this happen here? No compelling reason to do it, but if they had to they have plenty of storage and perc in Cultec chambers
- If they find ledge, as they are presuming, this is good news b/c can drill/pin gunite shell to ledge. If softer materials, they would do a slurry concrete footing to the deep end of the pool

Matt Cornell, 18 Briggs St.

- Opines that Commission should allow property owner to proceed as planned
- Re public interest/using resource area, conservation, aesthetics, he feels construction does not provide greater impact than nearby properties and pools

Susan Patterson, 18 Naples

- Abutter, 3 previous pools were built in 1950's before Wetlands conservation awareness
- Concerned about it taking over 99% of the property, the only piece of natural seagrass that has not been disturbed
- Concerned about construction/size of project/impact on seagrass/dirt going into ocean
- No calculations for storage/percolation below shown
- Notes storms will flood the area
- Applicant notes will be hay bales and silt fence in place; he understands they are in a resource area. Notes he was on Swampscott ConCom for 10 years, there is no more than 70-80 yards of material to be excavated; this is 4 tri-axes that will be coming out; hay bales/silt fence will be placed on daily basis to make sure no excavated materials fall into resource areas
- Ms. Patterson is concerned about lowering pool into Cultec system, concerned that water will not drain as quickly as if it was grass; Mr. Leahy disagrees; if now, water would wash back over what exists, over turf and mulch, rather than going into basin. She says it would not be taking pool water with it though

Joe McCarran 17 Hemenway Rd

- Deborah Van Etten name on screen
- Concerned w/subsurface, water in his basement, he is downgradient
- Concerned about wall/frost underneath
- Chair asks abutter: survey plans call out concrete block wall on perimeter of his property; does it extend up side lot line? Goes from lot line between theirs and his, but only to the end of garage

Wendy Roworth, Phillip Koch, 21 Savoy Rd.

- Water Resources Mgmt scientist
- Supports Susan Patterson's comments
- Works for City of Salem on SERC
- Asks if climate change was factored into calculations w/Cultec system
- Mr. Leahy: can only work on what we know as of today re floodplain, will be collecting water onsite as opposed to sheetflow into ocean
- Mr. Koch notes estimates and calculation on what will happen 10-30 years down the road, will happen
- Chair notes that climate change is not one of the criterion that Commission is able to regulate as it pertains to changing flood elevations; this is re setting first floor elevations, while he would love revised regulations to allow ConCom authority to extend into that realm, this application does not necessitate that conversation at this time. Mr. Koch says cannot regulate but can consider and estimate

Stephen Manning, 35 Belleau Rd.

- 2 pools in area, prior construction review for ConCom, pool is not close to waterfront, not equivalent
- Encourages a site visit

Joe McCarran, 17 Hemenway Rd

- Asks for clarification re elevation near pool; Chair notes that Commission has that elevation is flush at garage side, b/c pool patio is flat is 2' above at seaward side
- Elevations are further discussed; question is about fencing and how it is attached. Pool itself is raised up on the seaward side so that zoning/insurance requirements can be met
- Ricciarelli notes this is a building dept issue

Chair asks Applicant

- Propose 3rd Cultec unit based on discussion
- In addition to hay bale/silt fence, put snow fencing at all limits of work to provide visible boundary
- Landward/road side: suggests for trucking and earth work activities, road plates should be proposed for equipment to traverse so as not to unintentionally disturb soils
- City to perform bottom bed inspection to confirm adequacy of soil removal before construction
- Dan Ricciarelli notes test pits may be a good idea as 3rd Cultec may not be required; Glode agrees
- Prefer intent and goodwill gesture of trying to contain water in floodplain; Glode would still like to see calculations that it is capable of storing at least 1" water quality volume
- System designed to above but not an inch over impervious area onsite, just what is contributing to roof drains, area inlets, etc. Will take all, sized to handle, if it does backlog it will hold 680 gallons
- Applicant happy to add 3rd Cultec chamber but as designed the two proposed will take 3x the amount of runoff that could happen - Over 2000 gallons storage provided and a 1" storm would provide 650 gallons
- Sheehan notes that calcs are probably accurate but roof runoff may not be in figures; this should be clarified; this is what Glode is looking for
- Sheehan: seagrass present; he is not very concerned as BMP and erosion control measures will protect it, if done correctly and inspected. If not, opens up enforcement action and restoration
- Soil will be immediately removed off site each day
- Glode: if will be back flowing pool water to subsystems, where will that volume go, please show graphically or with detail or calculation so it shows it won't exceed tank volume. This can be done
- Campbell asks if any utilities will be installed, and where will filter/pump be installed; this is clarified
- Pools are maintained once a week for clarity of water, there is no backwash of any substance that would impact this area
- Volume of soil to be excavated is approximately 70 yards of material to come out over a week-10 days of excavation to prepare gunite
- Work will begin towards the end of March/early April but there is work to do between then and now; he is happy to work with the Commission on a site visit and questions

Phil Koch and Wendy Roworth:

- Basing design on 1" storm calculation? Yes but are at 3x capacity of 1" storm and was asked to add 3rd Cultec chamber
- Asks about construction schedule - Applicant reiterates it will happen early spring
They are the last house on a dead end, park is several feet away, other than trucks coming and going... Mr. Koch is concerned about them blocking intersection of Maple and Hemingway
- Chair notes that traffic management will be handled by Planning Dept. and there will not be obstruction/lack of access to neighborhood. Ms. Roworth notes no parking on Hemingway, children walk down Maple/Naples to get to park
- Sheehan asks re stormwater calcs: was Applicant asking if compliant re Mass Stormwater Criteria Handbook? Yes, based on the real number. Glode says 1" water quality applicable to this project, if no overflow below invert, system sized to handle upwards of 10 year storm event, but he wants to see that number. Sheehan says instead of guessing on amount of rainfall, Mass State Stormwater Handbook tells you want to plan for. Glode does not want to use that as it is dated, wants to see a NOAA value
- Technical Paper 40 and which resources to use are discussed
- Chair says we should pick one: Atlas 14
- 1" water quality volume is irrespective of data but it should be clarified
- Applicant understands it is not only what is proposed but also includes roof lines
- Chair had suggested that if patio around pool is pervious as open graded aggregate, may make more sense to route a roof toward infiltration system to provide a larger benefit. Applicant notes that was on the Plan/ his mistake. It was just a patio but happy to run calculations. What standard are they asking them to design to/show standards? Quantify 1" off all proposed surfaces compared to chamber volumetric capacity, in addition if routing garage to infiltration system, show calculations for that.

A site visit is discussed. Agent and Applicant will work together to determine a date and time.

A motion to continue to the Jan. 19, 2021 meeting, is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Tyler Glode, and passes 7-0

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

E. Salem Willows Park – DEP #64-713 – Public Hearing – Notice of Intent for Jenna Ide, City of Salem, 98 Washington Street. The purpose of the hearing is to discuss the proposed renovation of the parking lot and adjacent areas in Salem Willows Park within an area subject to the Wetlands Protection Act MGL c.131§40 and Salem Wetlands Protection & Conservation Ordinance.

File # 61-713

Present for the Applicant:

Jason Bobowski Landscape Architect and Arborist, Hatch

Hillary Holmes, Civil Engineer, Hatch

Jason Bobowski

- Resource area and reasons for project are outlined.
- 100' buffer to LSCSF
- Tennis court in overlap w/LSCSF
- Small area within 100' buffer to coastal beach
- Project limits shown.
- Restoration of tennis courts may or may not happen.
- Project being done b/c entire parking area used to be fully treed; over last decade, with unrestricted/overflow parking allowed, soils became overcompacted, trees suffered and have died out.
- Was before Commission earlier this year to remove several of those trees.
- Existing parking and hill is most degraded landscape in Salem.
- Sea of parking, long curb cut, no organization of parking, graded to low spot so water sits during and after storm.
- Tennis courts: two entrances to court; neither is accessible, surfaces are severely cracked, furnishings need repair/replacement.
- Project Goals: Restore the site, create sustainable parking.
- Proposed site layout shown; parking will be organized and crosswalks added.

Hillary Holmes

- Stormwater Management BMPs are outlined: Bioretention basins, flexible porous pavement, interlocking gravel porous pavement.
- Subsurface infiltration system to manage runoff from tennis courts proposed.
- No City stormwater system nearby they can connect to; did investigate 1 catch basin, coordinated w/Engineering who determined it went to manhole but no clear outlet. Decided that stormwater would be managed onsite, then anything else above the 1" would overflow to grass or vegetated areas similar to what it does now.
- Trench drain and subsurface infiltration system around tennis courts are described.
- Stormwater Management Compliance is outlined. Impervious paved surfaces will be reduced by 25%; 46%

less if porous pavement is included.

- Reducing peak stormwater flows.
- Providing 1.9x required recharge volume.
- Operation and Maintenance.
- Stormwater calculations have been submitted to the City.

Chair St. Louis asks on handicap ramps, there is sidewalk and curbing along perimeter of parking lot? There are curb stops where dropping curb as stormwater outlet. According to DPW the grass area is not plowed/was used for snow storage that lead to loss of trees.

Planting approach/Jason Bobowski

- Replicate natural plant communities indigenous to coastal MA.
- Restoration of Maritime Shrubland.
- Restoration of small area of Coastal Forest Woodland on the Hill.
- Creation of native bioretention basin plant community.
- Native, Non cultivar species.
- Highly adaptive plants - minimize maintenance.

Differences w/previously submitted plans:

- Larger flat space on top of hill has been created, overlook created on rock outcrop.
- Lower and higher recreational area.
- Area is on Historic Commission, approved.
- Plan simplified; now two types of seed mix - lawn and coastal/maritime seed mix. Seed mixes are described.
- Tennis court area not part of base bid plan at present; part of alternate Plan; updates described.
- Have approval for Parks & Rec for project, working on getting it out to bid, construction to start in March.
- Will set scope of work timeline targets.

Scott Sheehan

- Tennis courts “alternative”? Bid alternative, but still asking to be permitted this application? Yes, if approved, want to be able to move forward/have it permitted.
- How are bioretention ponds fed? Inlets from street.
- Trees along street/Restaurant Row? All shown in green are part of the project.

Dan Ricciarelli

- Vertical curb around entire parking lot to ensure people don’t park there.
- Many people move barriers and try to drive around hillside, trying to avoid that.

Chair

- Soils compacted in grass area, this will be remediated. Investigation report included, but part of approach especially around existing trees, will be decompacting, amending, everywhere else will decompact before bringing to final grade. Will need to import topsoil.
- Underlying geology is Chatfield-Hollis, characterized by ridgelines of stone, under parking lot, ridge continues, bedrock not far under surface. Can be deep between ridgelines and will accept stormwater, but it is graded to accommodate that.
- Porous pavement does not have an underdrain for this site at any part.
- Test pits and infiltration tests were done onsite; good infiltration rates and sandy soils obviate the need for underdrains.
- Chair: take second look at curb line, may want to put 4” perforated pipe under gravel sections so frost heaves are not an issue.
- Reservoir course sizing is discussed.
- Porous pavement is discussed.
- All paths are accessible 4.5% and 1.5% cross slopes.
- Chair asks why did not use open grade pavement vs. flexipave? Former may be less costly; Applicant notes

that it is a highly used area that can be maintained and is pleasant to walk on, easy to keep accessibility.

- Soil on hill beneath over compacted crust is very good.
- Flexible surface is 2" of pavement over 4" of base material of ¾" stone material,
- Interlocking gravel has 12" of base material beneath.
- Differentiate between natural landscaping and untended area (people have a hard time, may walk through it), may want to mow edges so it is clear that someone was mowing and stopped on purpose.
- Temporary fencing could also be used (post/rope) Applicant notes this is a seed establishment fence, this is included in Plans.
- Working w/Parks and Recs and Traffic and Parking to figure out how to charge for Parking, to be done in summer. Money generated will go back to Willows for continued maintenance.
- Scott Sheehan: Would be nice if Mayor's office can see this Plan and the impact, let citizens know that half of where they park will change.

A motion to close the public hearing is made by Bart Hoskins, seconded by Dan Ricciarelli, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

A motion to issue an Order of Conditions with standard conditions is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Scott Sheehan, and passes unanimously.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

II. OLD/NEW BUSINESS

a. 11 Goodhue Street – DEP #64-656 – Request for a Minor Modification

Chris Sparages of William & Sparages presents

- Original 2018 Order and work described.
- Revised Utility Plan
 - Underground sewer services combined.
 - Consider rooftop infiltration system.
 - Fire and domestic water lines have been separated.
- Requesting Commission to allow these changes as Minor Modification; Plans are shown.
- All changes are subsurface.
- This is a 5-unit townhouse development.

A motion to approve the minor modification is made by Scott Sheehan, seconded by Tom Campbell, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
--------------	-----

Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

b. 202 Jefferson Avenue – DEP #64-691 – Request for a Certificate of Compliance

Dana Vesty is present for the Applicant (Boston Gas Company)

- Work for Jan. 22, 2020 Order of Conditions has been completed, erosion controls removed, site restored.
- Chair St. Louis notes 4 sniffer holes have been patched, but another 4 are 200' away, otherwise no issues w/project.
- Brittany Dolan has visited site, no issues.

A motion to approve the Certificate of Compliance is made by Dan Ricciarelli, seconded by Bart Hoskins, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

c. Discussion on invasive species removal within Forest River Conservation Area

Chris Burke presents

- Requested permission to cut Bittersweet on Superbowl Sunday.
- Crew is experienced and any who can't ID Bittersweet are educated.
- This is done by volunteers; some are botanists and horticulturists.
- Entrance trees are currently being overtopped and may not be able to be saved. Further in is a colony of spice bush, bordered on 3 sides by bittersweet.
- Hoping to clear 2 additional areas.
- How effective is removal? Not an eradication project, not using herbicides, but if removed from trees and canopy preserved, it slows its growth. Dead vines fall off trees. This has been done on Willson Ave. and Broad St. playground.
- Ricciarelli wonders how ConCom can support: come out and help!
- As long as work is performed under 10-2-B-2 it is exempt.

Sheehan asks about mountain biking at Forest River - bike committee update forthcoming to discuss? Yes, Brittany Dolan will reach out again, did not hear back.

Commission discusses how to thank volunteers. Dan Ricciarelli may look into it.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

a. November 17, 2020

Will put on next agenda

IV. ADJOURNMENT

A motion to adjourn is made by Dan Ricciarelli seconded by Tom Campbell, and passes 7-0.

Tom Campbell	Yes
Tyler Glode	Yes
Bart Hoskins	Yes
Dan Ricciarelli	Yes
Greg St. Louis	Yes
Scott Sheehan	Yes
Malissa Vieira	Yes

The meeting ends at 9:40PM.