

DRAFT
SALEM HISTORICAL COMMISSION
MINUTES
June 6, 2018

A meeting of the Salem Historical Commission was held on Wednesday, June 6, 2017 at 7:00 pm at 98 Washington Street, Salem, MA. Present were Jessica Herbert (Chair), Reed Cutting, David Hart, Joanne McCrea, Larry Spang, and Jane Turiel.

40 Warren Street

Peter A. Copelas submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for paint colors

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/22/18
- Photographs

Mr. Copelas stated that he intends to repaint his garage. Chair Hebert asked if the Chocolate color is for the door and shutters on the detached 2-car garage and if the body and the trim color would be white. Mr. Copelas replied yes and noted that the 1920's Dutch colonial structures were painted by Dan Sherwood of Sherwood Painting in Marblehead. He added that the Green was a C-2 color but Sherwood wanted to use Sherwin and Williams.

There was no public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Cutting made a motion to approve the paint colors as submitted. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

177-179 Federal Street

Laskaris Realty Trust submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for paint colors.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/8/18
- Photographs

T.J. and Natalia Laskaris of Laskaris Realty Trust was present to discuss the project.

Ms. Kelleher noted that the proposed paint colors are for the window sills and door. Mr. Laskaris stated that the dark wood is at the top. Chair Herbert suggested the applicant use the trim color. Mr. Laskaris replied that it used to be painted and the patina was the suggested look. He did some de-leading and they scrubbed the outside surface and the patina is starting to return. Chair Herbert noted that a house on Chestnut Street did the same but let it alone since it had a nice look.

Mr. Hart noted that it could be cleaned professional with chemicals but that requires certain precautions. Mr. Laskaris replied that de-leading the exterior was part of the interior de-leading work, but some left-over paint remained. Mr. Hart recommended leaving it alone until it fades. Chair Herbert clarified that the middle color is the sills and the top color is the window sash.

Mr. Spang asked if the door surround at the portico is color HC-53. Mr. Laskaris replied that Waters & Brown matched the color as closely as possible. He added that the window sills will be African Night. Ms. Kelleher replied that the storm windows are dark so it is a similar color to the window sills which will be black.

Ms. McCrea asked what work will be done to the rear clapboards. Mr. Laskaris replied that they would be repaired and painted the lighter color to match the doorway.

Chair Herbert stated that the sills should be painted the trim color and not the black. Ms. Turiel agreed. Mr. Laskaris also agreed but wanted the brick dark at the front of the building. Ms. Kelleher noted that those windows have no trim and are recessed, only the sills are exposed. Chair Herbert recommended that the front sills be dark green and lighter at the rear.

There was no public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to accept the paint color as submitted with the window sills to be HC-83 to match the trim and clapboard. Mr. Cutting seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

175 Federal Street

Nicole Bergman and Adam Krauth submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for paint colors.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/21/18
- Photographs

Ms. Nicky Bergman was present to discuss the project.

Mrs. Bergman stated that she wanted to paint the clapboards in semi-gloss and the trim in either Strictly White or Dove White. The trim will be white and the door Aperture Black. Chair Herbert noted that there are only shutters on the front façade. Ms. Kelleher stated that the doors, shutters, and window sashes will also be painted. Ms. Bergman stated that the window sashes will be white and not black. The sashes were changed to all white to match the new storm windows and the shutters and door will be black.

Public comment:

Joyce Wallace, 172 Federal Street. Noted the blue color of the house next door and questioned how the two will look together. Ms. Bergman replied that the color combination should be fine and noted that the body color of the neighboring house is a blue that sometimes looks purple.

VOTE: Mr. Cutting made a motion to approve the window sashes to be Aperture Black, the façade Combustion Red, and the trim White. Mrs. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

162 Federal Street

Cougar Capital Management submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for paint colors.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/16/18
- Photographs

Juan Heredia of Cougar Capital Management and Dan Ricciarelli of Seger Architects were present to discuss the project.

Chair Hebert asked if the front doors can be natural. Mr. Ricciarelli replied yes. Mr. Heredia stated that Potsticker and Lounge Lizard are the other proposed colors. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that samples of those two colors are currently on the building, Lounge Lizard is the proposed body color with Potsticker for the trim and corner boards. All window sashes will be black and storm windows will match the Potsticker trim color.

Mr. Spang asked what the green roof edge material would be. Mr. Ricciarelli replied patinaed copper.

Chair Herbert noted that the side door is modern and recommended that they search for one at an architectural salvage yard. Mr. Ricciarelli replied that it will be replaced with a period door.

There was no public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Cutting made a motion to approve colors as presented in the plans. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

4 Federal Court - continuation

Shelly Young submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to install chimney caps.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 4/25/18
- Photographs

The applicant was not present.

Ms. Kelleher recommended that the application be denied without prejudice and the applicant can reapply.

VOTE: Mr. Hart made a motion deny the applicant without prejudice. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

384 Essex Street - continuation

Robert Barnard submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to repair entry portico

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 2/1/18
- Photographs

Chair Herbert noted that information is missing for their application, including who will do the work. She reported that a local restoration carpenter, Mark Pattison did an estimate for the project which could take 2 months to complete the complicate restoration and be expensive. Ms. Kelleher noted that the Commission is approaching the 60 day deadline for voting on the application and she recommended the Commission deny without prejudice and the applicant can reapply with more information.

VOTE: Mr. Hart made a motion deny the applicant without prejudice. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

87 Federal Street - continuation

Albert Goodhue Condo Trust submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace a fence.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 4/30/18
- Photographs

Rachel Lieberman was present to discuss the project.

Ms. Lieberman stated that the owner of the first floor unit had submitted the application. Ms. Kelleher stated that the application was processed under a Certificate of Non-Applicability as nominally visible. Ms. Lieberman reported that the fence was actually on the neighboring property (Murphy Funderal Home) which is not in the district. Chair Herbert requested a letter from Murphy Funeral Home approving the replacement with a vinyl fence. Chair Herbert noted that Murphy's is a cut out and they can have a vinyl fence since it's also minimally noticeable.

There was no public comment.

The Commission determined that upon submittal of the letter confirming the fence is not located in the historic district, the request does not come under the SHC jurisdiction.

Salem Common

The City of Salem submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to install new bike racks.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/18/18
- Photographs

Tom Devine, City of Salem – Senior Planner, was present to discuss the project.

Mr. Devine stated that the City is proposing 2 bike racks in the Common in areas that are convenient for bikers to dismount and that would discourage riders from attaching bikes to fences. The bike racks will be powder coated hoops on a galvanized rail. The proposed locations are the corner by the Hawthorne Hotel and by the basketball court.

Ms. McCrea asked when the City will add more bike racks in front of library because the old existing one is not adequate. Mr. Devine replied that the is unknown to him at this time, but he can follow up with Ms. Kelleher.

Mr. Devine stated that they would like a pop-up sign on one of the racks as a Zagster shared bike station. Ms. McCrea stated that riders of personal bikes also need a back to secure their bikes. Ms. Kelleher stated that the proposed sign is 4-feet high x 1-foot wide and would be attached to the side of the rack and extend about 1-foot higher than the loops. Zagster's contact is only for another 18 months and it is unknown if the City will continue with them. Chair Herbert stated that regardless of the system, the rack will be the same, but the signage may change or have no signage at all. She asked if the racks would be attached to the ground. Mr. Devine replied that they could be mounted on concrete footings so just the hoops are visible, but he proposed that they be not be placed on a mount and instead have the weight of the racks keep them down. A 3-4 hoop rack weights approx.. 200 lbs.

Mr. Spang asked if the racks would remain in the winter. Mr. Devine replied that Zagster will take the bikes but the hoop racks would remain until the end of the winter semester. Ms. Kelleher recommended placing the racks off to the side so the bikes would not be visible at the entrances of the Common.

Mr. Spang suggested that having a Zagster sign on the basketball court bike rack could make people think they can't keep their personal bike there. He suggested 2 racks, one for Zagster and one for personal bikes, and that the second have 3 hoops so 6 bikes can be stored.

Mr. Spang stated that an exposed rail would make the racks seem temporary and suggested the rail be buried. Mr. Devine replied that the City could paint the rail black or do a proper footing. Mr. Cutting, Ms. Turiel, and Ms. McCrea agreed. Mr. Hart suggested they bury the rail to conceal it instead of installing a permanent footing.

Chair Herbert asked how the old bike rack on the opposite site of Hawthorne Hotel is secured. Mr. Devine replied that it is secured to concrete but the rails are visible. Chair Hebert suggested a recommendation of 3-5 hoops.

Public comment:

Joyce Kinney suggested 4-6 racks be located near the hotel.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to approve location for bike rack #2 as shown, 3-5 hoops with horizontal rail to be buried, 2 bike racks at location #1 by basketball court, separated by 5 feet, with 3-5 hoops, with horizontal members buried or eliminated, one to be a Zagster rack with a blue and white logo and the other for personal bikes. Mr. Hart seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

14 Cambridge Street

Alan November submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace a fence.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 4/25/18
- Photographs

Helen Sides, the project architect, was present to discuss the project.

Ms. Sides stated that part of a flat board fence with a Brosco fence cap fence remains and closer to the house is an open picket fence with points. The posts are rotted and broken and they want to replace the two different fences with a flat board fence with spacing in between. The gate will be aligned with the entrance close to the house. At the street side you will see no posts, all of the posts will be at the interior of the property. To save on cost, they will not redo the entire length. Chair Hebert asked how much of the original fence remains. Ms. Sides was not sure.

Ms. Sides stated that the new 4-foot high fence will be painted to match the approved trim color "Mayonnaise". Ms. Kelleher asked if the fence on Cambridge Street extends from the corner of house to edge of the property line. Ms. Sides replied that there is an end post that the new fence will die into.

Chair Herbert noted that the proposed fence won't be as grand without the major posts. Ms. Sides replied that the contractor investigated repairing the fence but it started to collapse. The posts aren't as old but the wrapping is in pieces. Mr. Cutting asked if the old fence sections remain. Ms. Sides replied that both fences have been removed and the original may have been from the 1950's. The sections that fell apart may have been the only sections left from the original fence.

Public comment:

Jen Kieffer. She is happy that the fence will be replaced. She provided an image of the fence that was approved at their house and noted that the gates are being constructed and will be installed soon. Chair Herbert asked if the short picket fence will to be rebuilt. Ms. Kieffer replied yes, with open pickets. Chair Herbert noted that the gate next door will be very grand compared to what's being proposed. Ms. Kelleher noted that this project will be reviewed by the Massachusetts Historical Commission since it is receiving for historic tax credits.

VOTE: Mr. Hart made a motion to approve as submitted. Ms. McCrea seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

8 Hamilton Street

Lynn Frothingham submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace a fence.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/21/18
- Photographs

Ms. Frothingham, 8 Hamilton Street, was present. Ben and Marjorie Whitner, 6 Hamilton Street, were also present.

Ms. Frothingham stated that they are co-owners of the double house. The fence style at #6 is different than at #8 and she would like to mimic the design of her neighbors' fence. It will extend onto their property also.

Mr. Spang noted that the existing fence and replacement fence don't match. Ms. Frothingham replied that the property was divided from 4 Hamilton Street and it will replace the open picket style. Mr. Spang noted that the fence design at 8 Hamilton is also slightly different and there is no replication proposed in the proposed picture. Ms. Frothingham replied that the spacing is different but will become uniform. There will be no pickets and the top would be flat. Mr. Spang recommended the new fence to have closer spacing between the pickets, which is more historically accurate. Chair Herbert stated that no spacing has been identified. Mr. Spang replied that the spacing seems to be 3/4".

There was no public comment.

Mr. Whitner stated that he likes seeing the green between the pickets and asked if the portion of fence with the spacing be retained since it isn't visible from the street. Chair Herbert stated that side and rear fencing often have more spacing between their pickets. Ms. Kelleher indicated the visibility from the street in the staff memo photo and the one to be replaced is visible. Mr. Hart suggested the Commission approve what is proposed and the applicant can return for approval of the spacing. Mr. Cutting added that the spacing proposed isn't completely against historic spacing. Mr. Spang replied that the fence manufacturer may have added to the spacing because it's less work than the traditional spacing. Chair Hebert stated that the existing fence came before the Commission approximately 8 years prior and the larger spacing was approved and the current spacing should be too.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to approve the fence locations shown in red on the Plan of Land for 6 Hamilton Street, with the fence configuration to be as shown on last page "Replacement Fence" as a natural cedar finish fence with 3/4" spacing. Mr. Hart seconded the motion. Three were in favor, Mr. Cutting and Ms. McCrea abstained, the motion did not pass.

Chair Herbert added that the other owner should be told of the approved. Ms. Kelleher noted that if the other fence is not visible it can have a certificate of applicability.

VOTE: Ms. Turiel made a motion to approve the rear fence which is not visible. Ms. McCrea seconded the motion. Mr. Cutting abstained, the motion passed.

Ms. Kelleher stated that the Commission will need clarification on the spacing. Ms. Herbert noted that the spacing on the other fence will need to be investigated so that a compromise can be reached. Ms. Kelleher stated that she will obtain and review the original documents. The discussion was continued to the next meeting.

158 Federal Street

Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Boston submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace windows on single story chapel building.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/7/18
- Photographs

David St. Jean was present to discuss the project.

Mr. St. Jean stated that there are 11 vinyl windows in the single-story chapel building. The hopper style windows will be replaced with double-hung windows that will be custom fit into the existing opening. They will be bronze with 3-inch wide trim.

Chair Herbert noted that the school will be renovated, and she hoped this building could be improved too. Mr. St. Jean replied that the school was sold, and nothing ties the two buildings together.

Chair Hebert asked if the two bays of windows in the front elevation would be replaced. Mr. St. Jean replied that there will be 3 double-hung windows and they will use wood studs with 3-inch mullions between each window, but the units will be mulled together with aluminum.

Mr. St. Jean noted that there are 5 replacement single hung window at the rear that will also be bronze. Ms. Kelleher noted that portions of the rear windows are visible from Flint Street and they are all visible from Bridge Street. She asked if the door color will change. Chair Herbert asked for the material at the head of the opening. Mr. St. Jean replied no color change is proposed and the door will be storefront. Mr. Hart asked about the window configuration. Mr. St. Jean replied double hung window, 1 over 1 with no grids. Ms. Kelleher asked how the building is used. Mr. St. Jean replied Parish offices. Mr. Hebert requested that the white doors be painted to match the new windows.

Ms. Kelleher asked for the lintel material above the doors and windows. Mr. St. Jean replied painted concrete. Chair Herbert suggested the applicant paint the horizontal band a brick color and not the bronze. Ms. McCrea suggested that surface be painted to match the door. Chair Herbert asked what the plaque above the concrete band says. Mr. St. Jean replied a painted wood "St. James Chapel" sign. The green sign would now say "Parish Offices." Mr. Spang suggested a beige or the Potsticker color from the earlier applicant to match the church color.

Ms. Kelleher noted that the storm windows on the church have been replaced with bronze storms and they are a marked improvement since the stained glass windows are now visible from outside, although an application needs to be submitted for that work. Chair Herbert suggested the applicant replace the 3 existing doors or the 4th door so that they all four doors match. The Commission agreed.

There was no public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to approve the replacement of the 2 sets of windows on Federal Street with 3 Harvey 1 over 1 double hung windows in dark bronze aluminum per the drawings submitted. The framing around the door and door frame to be painted dark bronze, 3 of the 4 doors to be replaced to match the other doors and that work shall be phased according to the Church's schedule, and to paint the lintel above a beige color. Replacement of 5 rear windows in a 1 over 1 configuration and bronze, and to paint the bottom windows bronze to match. Mr. Hart seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

24 Chestnut Street

Anthony Storella and Nathan Ritsko submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Architectural roof shingles.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/14/18
- Photographs

Anthony Storella of Unit 1 and Nathan Ritsko of Unit 2 were present to discuss the project.

Mr. Ritsko stated that a section of the roof was damaged in the last storm. He provided a shingle sample of GAF Slateline shingles and noted that 15 years ago a 3-tab black shingle roof was installed. That brand is no longer manufactured and since only a portion of the roof was damaged the patch wouldn't match. The current shingles are

rated for up to 60 MPH but their contractor suggested 110 MPH shingles be installed. The entire roof would be replaced so there is no mis-matching shingles. Chair Herbert noted that GAF Slateline Architectural has been approved by the Commission in the past. Mr. Hart noted the Slateline has a more traditional appearance than other architectural shingles with angled edges that do not match the look of historical shingles. Ms. Kelleher replied that the Commission approved the proposed shingle twice at the last meeting. Chair Herbert noted that the 3-tab shingles are being discontinued.

There was no public comment.

No one in the assembly wished to speak.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to approve the application as presented. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. Mr. Hart abstains. 5 approve. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

6 Cambridge Street

John and Judy Bedell submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Architectural roof shingles.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/14/18
- Photographs

John and Judy Bedell were present to discuss the project.

Mr. Bedell presented IKO The Cambridge Series shingles and a photo of the neighbor's roof at 3 Cambridge Street. The Vintage Green and Harvard Slate were their preferred color options. Ms. Kelleher noted that the Commission has approved IKO shingles in the past but this is a more three-dimensional shingle. Mr. Bedell noted that they are not proposing GAF Slateline because their Contractor prefers a Canadian roof shingle because they have harsher winters. Ms. Kelleher noted that the Commission approved an IKO shingle on Derby Street, but that house has a lower pitch roof while the roof at 6 Cambridge Street is gambrel.

There was no public comment.

Mr. Bedell noted their preference for the green shingle.

VOTE: Mr. Spang made a motion to approve the IKO shingles Cambridge Series, in either Vintage Green or Harvard Slate, with this being used for this house only. Mr. Cutting seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

9 Cambridge Street

Colin Dobbs submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to install fiberglass gutters.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/21/18
- Photographs

Colin Dobbs and Andrea Gibner were present to discuss the project.

Chair Herbert noted that the existing gutters are wood. Ms. Gibner noted that the existing wood gutters have rotted. Mr. Dobbs noted that the original gutters were molded from wood but the fiberglass gutters are almost the same cost as wood. Ms. Kelleher noted that Cambridge has approved fiberglass gutters due to their greater capacity for holding more volumes of water than a wood gutter.

Mr. Hart stated that the fiberglass gutters profile should match the wooden gutter as closely as possible. Mr. Dobbs replied that the small trim piece below the existing gutter will be replicated. Ms. Kelleher asked if the gutter will be replaced at the closed gambrel. Mr. Dobbs replied yes. Ms. Kelleher and Ms. McCrea were concerned with the corner treatment. Mr. Dobbs noted that there will be no corner seam. He added that the fascia board will be kept and reused or replaced to match as needed.

Public comment:

Joyce Wallace, 172 Federal Street, asked if a snow guard would be needed. Mr. Dobbs replied no.

Ms. Kelleher suggested this request for fiberglass gutter be consider as a trial for possible recommendations in the future. Mr. Cutting and Ms. Turiel agreed. Chair Herbert noted that this is the first time this product is being used in the Historic District.

Mr. Dobbs added that the gutter color will match the trim color.

VOTE: Mr. Cutting made a motion to approve as a test trial of a fiberglass gutter in the color and profile applied for. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

Other Business

Violation Notices

384 Essex Street. Chair Herbert stated that the applicant replaced the fence and painted it a difference color red. Ms. Kelleher noted that she will send a notice for both the fence treatment, the sills, and replacement clapboards and they was not replaced in kind.

VOTE: Mr. Hart made a motion send a violation notice to the owner. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

31 Broad Street. Ms. Kelleher reported that the owner blew in insulation through holes in the siding instead of removing the clapboards first. Chair Herbert noted that the clapboards were painted over so it looks better.

VOTE: Ms. McCrea made a motion send a violation notice to the owner. Mr. Cutting seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

76 – 76 ½ Federal Street. Chair Herbert reported that the owner, Gordon Realty Trust, is using a rotary sander to remove the paint. Ms. Kelleher stated that she notified the Health Department since mechanical sanding is not allowed. Mr. Hart noted that lead paint is likely.

VOTE: Ms. Turiel made a motion send a violation notice to the owner. Ms. McCrea seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

95 Federal Street. Ms. Kelleher stated that the applicant installed a mockup of the new location for the exterior pipes but are not proposing to move the two condenser units. She suggested that the Commission approve the relocation as proposed and upon transfer of ownership that the condensers be relocated. Chair Herbert added that removal of the ducts and piping should also be included when the property is sold next.

VOTE: Ms. Turiel made a motion send a violation notice to the owner. Mr. Hart seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

16 Kosciusko Street. Ms. Kelleher noted that ill-fitting railings on the back porch with no balustrades. They removed all the back yard and the side yard and placed industrial sized gravel. She recommended sending a letter.

VOTE: Ms. McCrea made a motion send a violation notice to the owner. Mr. Hart seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

Meeting Minutes

VOTE: Ms. Turiel made a motion to approve the April 18, 2018 meeting minutes. Mr. Spang seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

Correspondence

Historic Court Buildings: Chair Herbert read the letter send to Representative Tucker in support of a new house bill that would encourage relocation of the Registry of Deeds back into one of the Court buildings, but not require it.. Ms. Kelleher stated that the concern was that the Registry was earmarked for so much space that the remaining space wasn't usable. Ms. McCrea noted that chain-link fencing was installed. Ms. Kelleher replied that it is a temporary construction fence.

Other

Demolition Delay Revision: Ms. Kelleher provided a draft demolition delay ordinance for the Commission to review, which is based on Mass Historic Commission's model. The Commission discussed the changes. Ms. Kelleher noted that the percentage of demolition needs to be clearly defined and other communities have used 50-75%. Chair Herbert noted that at 25%, demolishing 3 walls but keeping 1 was considered acceptable. She noted that the Willows property would be in violation of the revised regulations. Councilor Josh Turiel noted that on a Carrolton Street property only 2 walls remained after the demolition. Mr. Spang suggested that under building code Alteration Level 3 = 50% is the definition of demolition. Councillor Turiel suggested a 12-month delay period, not 18 months.

Chair Herbert noted the possibility of expanding the historic district. Councilor Turiel noted that to create another district, neighbors would need to be in support. Chair Herbert suggested Bridge Street Neck since the area is losing a lot of history with recent renovation and they've received many complaints about the new structures. At Juniper Point they want to keep the B zoning, which could be problematic. Ms. Kelleher asked if that project would require a DRB review. Councilor Turiel replied that there are no dimensional requirements for a dwelling in that area which is a zoning quirk. Business below housing isn't technically allowed, it must be existing non-conforming and not new. Converting the zone would require 8 Council votes.

MBTA: Mr. Spang stated that roof ridge at the signal tower is not correct. He asked the Commission to consider keeping what is there or asking the T to replace it.

VOTE: Mr. Hart made a motion to adjourn. Ms. Turiel seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 PM.

Respectfully submitted,
Patti Kelleher, Community Development Planner