# **Salem Harbor Station Redevelopment**

## Summary of Key Comments from EFSB and DEIR Reviews

March 7, 2013



### **Traffic**

•Estimated truck/barge trips associated with material delivery, construction and demolition should be provided

•Offsite staging and marshaling yard should be identified

•Traffic impacts on Bentley School must be described

•Operational impacts should account for the post-demolition areas available for potential redevelopment

#### **Environmental Justice**

•Environmental Justice Analysis following procedures described in EPA Environmental Justice Policy should be provided

### Air Quality

•Several MA regulatory requirements (310 CMR 7.01, subsections of 7.02, 310 CMR 7.10, and 310 CMR 7.26) missing from relevant regulations; description of requirements not entirely accurate

•Clarification needed of what modeling domain/grid was considered and if all offsite sources are included in model

•Confirm if increment consuming sources are included in PSD model

•More thorough analysis of carbon capture and storage (CCS) for PSD permit required

•More detail regarding NOx offsets to support a conclusion that NOx offsets will be available. MassDEP will not issue a Comprehensive Plan Approval if proponent does not secure 200 to/yr of NOx offsets

•Indicate if combustion turbines will comply with GHG emission limits

### Solid and Hazardous Waste

•Evaluate demolition and remediation requirements and update schedule accordingly

•Develop Construction Waste Management Plan for decommissioning and demolition (written controls for chemical storage and detail for on-site handling and storage)

### **Operations Safety**

Provide details regarding sound level program to be conducted during construction

•Confirm ongoing site exposure and environmental sampling program, and clarify that alarm systems will be installed to notify community in event of catastrophic system failures

•Consider requiring 3<sup>rd</sup> party EHS auditing in addition to contractor auditing

### Visual/Historic/Cultural Resources

•Provide consultation with MHC/local historic Commission regarding the plant being 50+ years old

•Conduct site survey to support finding of no structures/sites affected

•Consider potential impacts to underwater archaeological site from potential pipeline/barge trips

### Land Use/Zoning

•Evaluate potential impacts of increase in commercial vessel traffic on personnel, fuel/consumables and equipment associated with escort and response services

•Address compliance with City Zoning Ordinance in regard to obtaining a special permit as the site is within the Wetlands and Flood Hazard Overlay District (wetlands, flood hazard and coastal high hazard areas)

•Address fact that the site is located in the Designated Port Area

#### <u>Noise</u>

•Explain the reason the metrics (Leq, L10, L50) were chosen and what they represent

•Report 24-hour day-night noise level or average noise level for comparison with EPA guidelines

•Include potential vibration impacts in analysis

•Evaluate noise impacts with regards MassDEP noise policy which clearly includes construction noise (documents currently state that there are no state regulations regarding construction noise)

•Confirm construction noise levels and mitigation (predicted L90 levels will be significantly above the allowable 10 dBA increase)

#### Wetlands/Water

•Identify wetland resources area boundaries and if they are subject to jurisdiction

 Indicate depth to groundwater as required by MEPA for confirmation of Stormwater BMPs

•Provide documentation of floodplain layer adjustment

•Provide information related to Chapter 91 and water dependency of project

#### **Stormwater**

•Evaluate providing a stormwater pump station

•Identify how stormwater will be collected and treated to meet NPDES requirements

•Evaluate potential BMPs (deep sump catch basin) given potential for high groundwater

•Provide discussion of stormwater management in event of large rainfall event coinciding with storm surge

#### **Wastewater**

•Provide data regarding peak daily flow and likelihood of being coincident with SESD WWTF PDF

•Clarify the treatment and ultimate disposal of wastewater generated by the proposed facility. ENF certificate states no discharge to Harbor, while EFSB/DEIR contradicts

#### Water Supply

•Verify City of Salem has adequate permitted supply and capacity to provide to the proposed and future development