



MARCH 2018, NOR-EASTER STORM DAMAGE SEAWALL ASSESSMENTS

Salem, Massachusetts



GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.

Proactive By Design. Our Company Commitment



Scope of Services

- > Task 1 Project Review
- Task 2 Condition Inspection and Survey Develop Existing Condition Plans
- Task 3 Condition Assessment Letter Report
- Task 4 Conceptual Design







- > No Historic Information Found (pre-1938)
- Seawall is approximately 474 ft long
- Stone/Masonry Construction
- Elevation Varies from 10.1 to 8.5 in North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD88)
- "B", Good Condition, per Massachusetts Coastal Infrastructure Inventory and Assessment Project, by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation, North Shore 2009



> Observed to be in overall FAIR to POOR Condition

- Loose/missing stones,
- Deteriorated and missing mortar/cracks



1 2 2 4 4



Typical voids and missing mortar along seaward face.

Missing face stones and typical recent mortar repair.





Typical voids and deteriorated and missing mortar/cracks in seaward face. Typical voids and deteriorated and missing mortar/cracks along top of wall.





Granite block landing with loss of fill material below top course.

Typical corroded pipe weep hole and voids along seaward face.



COTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION COTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION

Columbus Avenue Seawall



1 1 1 1 1 1

Page | 9











Alt No.	Repair Recommendation	Wall Height	Cost Estimate
Alt No. 1	In-kind Repairs	No Change	\$90k to \$120k
Alt No. 2A	Reconstruct with Existing and Supplemental Stone	+0.9-2.5 ft	\$550k to \$700k
Alt No. 2B	Reconstruct with Large Cut Granite Stone	+0.9-2.5 ft	\$750k to \$900k
Alt No. 3	Reconstruct with Reinforced Concrete Wall	+0.9-2.5 ft	\$1.4m to \$1.7m
Alt No. 4	Reconstruct with Hybrid-Concrete and Stone Veneer Wall	+0.9-2.5 ft	\$1.5m to \$1.8m



GEOTECHNICAL

ENVIRONMENTAL

Alt No. 2A – Reconstruct with Existing and Supplemental Stone

Pros

- Less upfront cost
- Increased flood protection
- Aesthetics- most similar to existing

Cons

- Routine maintenance/upkeep
- Less longevity/strength compared to concrete or larger stone





CONSTRUC





Alt No. 2B – Reconstruct with Large Cut Granite Stone

Pros

- Medium upfront cost
- Increased flood protection
- Aesthetics- stone masonry

Cons

- Routine maintenance/upkeep
- Material availability











Alt No. 3 – Reconstruct with Reinforced Concrete Wall

Pros

- Increased longevity/strength
- Increased flood protection
- Minimal maintenance/upkeep
- Optional form liners for aesthetic face

Cons

- Higher upfront cost
- Aesthetics- least similar to existing









Alt No. 4 – Reconstruct with Hybrid-Concrete and Stone Veneer Wall

Pros

- Increased longevity/strength
- Increased flood protection
- Aesthetics- stone veneer

Cons

- Higher upfront cost
- Material availability
- Maintenance to stone veneer





Page | 17





GEOTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL





Date and Time

CONSTRUCTIO MANAGEMEN

January 2018

GZ



- > DRAFT REPORT "WORKING VERSION"
- > REVIEW WITH THE CITY
- > PERMITTING/CONSTRUCTION IMPLICATIONS
- > IDENTIFY FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES
- > LOOK AT ADDITONAL SERVICES....



Questions

